

## PTTC Focused Technology Workshop

### “PTTC Well Tender Seminar”

September 2, 2004; Meadville, PA

#### Workshop Summary

This workshop actually replaced two workshops that had been scheduled on September 1, in Olean, NY and September 2, in Meadville, PA. Due to the low initial response of New York well tenders, we collapsed the two workshops into one. Fortunately, when the New York well tenders who had signed up for the Olean workshop were advised of the change, nearly 100% of them agreed to drive the extra distance to participate in the Meadville workshop.

The turnout was smaller than usual, but those in attendance were interested and attentive during all of the presentations, and actively participated in the various stations and demonstrations. Matt Vavro, who has organized and taught several of these for us, was pleased with the turnout and interest and considered it a good use of his time.

In general, we concluded once again that the workshop was well designed, useful and well received, although we would have preferred a larger audience.

#### Evaluation Forms

Matt Vavro prefers to use his own evaluation form for his workshops. A blank copy was provided with his workshop folder, which serves as a workshop notebook, and copies filled out by participants and submitted to Vavro were shared with us. Thirty of the 66 attendees filled out and submitted the form. Thirteen of the 30 responded favorably to all 11 questions, but did not offer any comments regarding what else could have been included, what they liked about the workshop and what they did not like. Eleven other participants gave similar positive responses to each of the 11 questions, but offered responses to the final three questions.

Topics, or more specifically, stations to be added to this type of workshop, as suggested by these 11 participants, included: butt welding of pipelines; different types of pipelines; and more down hole information. In response to what they liked about the workshop, these 11 attendees mentioned the following: all the stations; the information that was provided; good communication from the speakers; very efficient workshop; you were able to answer hands on questions; the instructors were knowledgeable about their subjects; the variety of topics; everything; new information and technology; lunch and demonstrations, door prizes and free lunch. One person wrote more than the others, stating that he liked learning about units for oil and gas separation, hearing from Roger (from Universal) about drilling rigs and what all the parts are for, and about safety and what pressure can do. He added that Roger was very good at explaining it.

Only six people had any negative answers to the 11 questions. Two of these indicated that the location was hard to find; two indicated that they did not have enough time at all of the stations, whereas another said he had too much time. The sixth person apparently was the only person at the workshop who did not find it worthwhile. He did not answer the question, "Were the subjects interesting to you?" In addition, he answered no to the final four questions dealing with attending a similar session in the future, recommending the workshop to his company, feeling that the day was worth his time and the contents of the session being what he wanted to hear. However, he did not offer any suggestions for what he would have preferred to hear, what he liked or what he disliked about the seminar.

In fact, only one person had a comment about what he disliked about the seminar. He liked the free food, but would have preferred a beer with it.

#### Attendance List

The final attendance list is attached. Of the 66 attendees, all were from industry.